REVOLUTIONIZING EDUCATION THROUGH FLIPPED LEARNING: TRANSFORMING LEARNING PATTERNS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

Dhea Ismi Arti[*1], Aldy Danuar[2], Tri Pujiani[3]
dheaa8780@gmail.com[1*], aldydanuar@student.uhb.ac.id[2], tripujiani@uhb.ac.id[3]
English Education Department, Social Sciences Faculty[1,2,3]
Universitas Harapan Bangsa[1,2,3]

Received: December 7, 2024 Accepted: September 15, 2025 Published: September 27, 2025

ABSTRACT

This study investigates flipped learning as an emerging instructional approach that addresses the shifting learning needs of learning in the 21st century. Compared to traditional classroom pedagogy with respect to teacher-centered approach, flipped learning transforms traditional direct instruction outside the classroom online and focuses classroom time for group activities, discussions, and experiential learning. The article integrates new empirical and theoretical studies to analyze how the flipped approach results in greater autonomy, critical thinking, and motivation among students. Grounded on constructivist theory, Bloom's Taxonomy, and self-determination theory, this paper examines how flipped classrooms enhance active learning and learner-centered learning. The research concludes that flipped learning results in better academic performance if educators prepare themselves appropriately and digital technologies are made available. However, unequal digital access and learner readiness are issues that still need to be main concerns. EFL case studies also demonstrate that, in addition to promoting language acquisition, flipped learning can promote 21st-century skill acquisition such as problem-solving and collaboration. Ultimately, flipped learning presents a convincing way of redesigning education today into an even more engaging, equalitarian, and forward-looking environment.

Keywords: *Active Learning, EFL Instruction, Flipped Learning, Student Autonomy, 21st-Century Education.*
DOI: 10.31943/wej.v9i2.362

INTRODUCTION

As we move into the twenty-first century, education is changing rapidly because of technology, social demands, and worldwide emphasis on twenty-first century skills. The past education models delivery of education— teacher-centered pedagogies, rote learning, and passive learning— are not able to continue to prepare students to thrive in a knowledge-based economy, when everything can be accessed digitally (Wright, 2019). Students today expect more than being filled with information; they want opportunities to learn how to think critically, be creative, work collaboratively, communicate effectively, and be active, self-directed learners. This shift in expectations calls for the reform of education systems to

promote a shift from passive, teacher-centered learning to active, student-centered learning.

Flipped learning is a relatively new term used in current pedagogy that is learner-centered and fundamentally changes the traditional classroom. In a flipped classroom, students independently engage with the instructional content (videos, readings, interactive digital modules, etc.) before coming to class. Class time is then reserved for collaborative, inquiry-based, and problem-oriented activities that deepen understanding, all of which foster active learning (Bergmann & Sams, 2012; Kim et al., 2021). Instead of receiving knowledge in a passive manner, students become participants in building meaning through learning in collaboration, application, and feedback. According to Bergmann and Sams (2012), the first adopters of flipped learning reported that students are far more engaged in the learning process, and they perform better academically when they have the time and space to explore the content only before class and apply it through interactive learning in class. Kim et al. (2021) also noted that this format encourages not just academic success, but also greater learner autonomy and digital competence. The Flipped Learning Network (2014) has identified this again in four main pillars: flexible environment, shift in learning culture, deliberate choice of content, and transformation of the educator's role from information provider to learning facilitator. Together, these principles lead, too, to meaningful, personalized, and skills-focused learning in the contemporary classroom.

There are many theories that support the flipped learning idea as an approach to help educators with the transition from traditional to flipped instruction. Piaget (1952) theorized that the theory of constructivism is the theory in which learners are considered to be agents of their learning and that knowledge is developed through experience and interaction with the learner's environment. The flipped approach applies this idea and allows students to engage with content on their time and apply their knowledge for collaborative learning in the classroom. Additionally, Vygotsky's (1978) theory of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) supports the flipped classroom emphasis on guided interaction within the learner's learning range. The activities that support flipped learning are found in the interaction, scaffolding, and collaborative learning in the classroom.

Another significant theoretical framework is Bloom's Taxonomy (1956) which encourages learning from lower-order thinking (e.g., remembering) to higher-order thinking (e.g., analyzing, creating). In fact, traditional instruction utilizes lower-order thinking tasks during class time and assigns higher-order thinking tasks for the learners to do at home. In contrast, flipped learning allows learners to engage in higher-order tasks in class and develop their thinking (Wright, 2020). Additionally, Deci and Ryan's (1985) Self-Determination Theory (SDT) indicates that flipped learning helps stimulate intrinsic motivation when autonomy, competence, and relatedness are satisfied. Here, the learners are able to either study or engage with their work at their own pace. Class time allows learners to build relationships and belong in a connected space that lowers anxiety.

Another aspect of education to consider is that technology is increasing, and technology is used more in the setting of flipped learning. Educational tools like YouTube, Moodle, and Blackboard have enabled students to access and review

learning activities on their own time, accommodating different learning styles and preferences (Kim et al., 2021). Real-time collaboration tools like Google Docs, Padlet, and Zoom provide opportunities for peers to work collaboratively with each other, share ideas, and give students feedback to their teachers, effectively improving the experience (Zainuddin & Halili, 2016). Similarly, with the introduction of learning analytics, teachers can observe how engaged students are within the class; track student performance; begin to personalize how they teach; and make changes on the fly (Chung & Hew, 2022). In sum, technology has redefined the classroom experience into a hybrid and flexible learning environment that brings about an interactive learning space that is relevant to what we understand learning to mean in the 21st century.

While the possibilities of technology often seem exciting, the continuation of traditional teaching practices in many methods can make it more difficult, if not impossible, to innovate. Teacher-centered instruction that relies on lectures and standardized tests focuses more on imparting knowledge than ensuring all learners can access the material. Teacher-centered instruction often does not take into consideration differentiated instruction, and boys, who desire direct interaction and participation with language, deeply lack engagement (Han, 2022; Finkel, 2019). In addition, traditional classrooms create a high cognitive load and minimal feedback, which often leads to complex problems that are very distant from students' actual lives and lived experiences (Bergmann & Sams, 2012).

Flipped learning explicitly addresses these limitations by supporting learning to be more participatory, individual, and driven by students. Evidence indicates that flipped classrooms can improve academic achievement, promote student motivation, and strengthen student engagement when appropriately implemented (Zainuddin & Perera, 2019; Chung & Hew, 2022). Yet, transitioning is not without challenges. For example, the digital divide—students living in rural or low-income settings experiencing inconsistent access to technology and reliable internet—is one of the major barriers (Turan & Akdag-Cimen, 2020). In a practical sense, without equitable access, many learners will not be able to participate equally in flipped aspects. Another issue is teacher unpreparedness. Teachers might find designing flipped lessons, creating digital content, and managing classroom activities difficult without certain available professional development (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018).

Furthermore, numerous studies in the context of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) have identified transformative changes in the teaching of language using flipped learning. Nugroho (2021) provided evidence that flipped classrooms in an EFL context substantially supported students in their learning autonomy and peer collaboration and confidence in speaking. His research indicated that students were better prepared to perform a speaking task and engage in peer discussions during class when they had engaged with the video materials prior to the class. When students take a more active and autonomous role in their own learning, they are more likely to engage in their language development for the purpose of thinking. When students take a more active and autonomous role, they are far more likely to engage in meaningful thought processes in relation to language growth. The structured way asynchronous and synchronous elements supported the students' engagement contributed to their performance, with students demonstrating

increased fluency, accuracy and willingness to communicate. Students communicated that they felt better prepared, and less anxious, to be more active in English language, so they were learning English through participation and autonomy. Both studies have reported that flipped learning not only provides a timely manner to develop linguistic, performance, but also supports the development of some 21st-century competencies such as collaboration, communication, and digital literacy (in a language classroom) were developing. The implications of the model help to narrow the gap between input in language and output, engaging students to develop communicative competence.

In this light, this study utilized a narrative literature to examine how flipped learning has the potential to revolutionize teaching and learning practices within 21st century paradigms, with a particular focus on English as a Foreign Language (EFL) practice. The 21st century perspective for learning requires new pedagogies that focus on inquiry, critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and learner autonomy—competencies that traditional teacher-centered approaches have not been able to teach. Flipped learning empowers teachers to create more learner-centered environments, where students actively engage and interact with new content before class, directly engaging in meaningful interaction, discussion, and application of that new knowledge in class time. With the outcomes of this study, we aim to; (1) evaluate how flipped learning supports student-centered teaching and the development of 21 century competencies; (2) evaluate flipped learning's support of motivation and learner autonomy in EFL courses; (3) document the ways in which technology supports pedagogical innovation in education to facilitate flipped learning pedagogies. The final report aims to connect the theoretical nature of flipped learning with evidence-based practice through literature synthesized in the pursuit of practice, without ignoring the potential of how it could be adapted in an effective manner in a variety of educational situations. Each aspect of flipped learning requires synergy within the design and implementation of the theoretical and practical aspects with the education technology that supports the learning.

In addition, the research adds to continuing debates around educational reform and digital transformation by elucidating the limitations and challenges that educators and institutions face while attempting to implement flipped learning. Facilitating factors include limited access to digital infrastructure, insufficient professional learning for teachers, and differences in readiness by students. The research has provided pragmatic and actionable recommendations for numerous stakeholders, including teachers, school leaders, curriculum planners, and policymakers. All recommendations are intended to help inform strategic decisions aimed at supporting equitable and sustainable implementation of flipped learning. In the end, flipped learning is not just an instructional strategy or intervention; it is a paradigm shift that has the potential to reconfigure educator and learner affections, re-think and re-imagine classroom interactions, and bring education into alignment with a digitally-connected, skills-based world.

RESEARCH METHOD

A narrative approach to literature review was employed in this study to investigate the role of flipped learning in 21st-century education with a particular

focus on English as a Foreign Language (EFL) instruction. A narrative review was employed as it allows for the possibility of synthesizing theoretical and empirical evidence from varied sources to create a comprehensive overview of a phenomenon without the constraints of a systematic review.

The sources of literature that were utilized in this study were peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, and conference proceedings between the years 2016 and 2025. The inclusion criteria were that the chosen literature was published in English, in peer-reviewed academic journals, and explicitly mentioned flipped learning and its relevance to teaching practice or theoretical frameworks. Papers were excluded if they were not of overt relevance to the research question, were published before 2016, or were not peer-reviewed. Relevant sources were retrieved based on purposive sampling of scholarly databases such as Google Scholar, ERIC, and Scopus. The search keywords utilized were "flipped learning," "flipped classroom," "EFL," "student-centered learning," and "21st-century education." Titles and abstracts were screened, followed by the full texts of selected articles being read for enhanced relevance and conceptual density.

The literature which was gathered was then carried out using thematic analysis, as suggested by the process of Nowell et al. (2017), where recurring patterns and themes were revealed throughout the theoretical bases, pedagogical advantages, and challenges of using flipped learning. The synthesis was guided by a conceptual framework based on constructivism (Piaget, 1952), Bloom's Taxonomy (1956), and self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985), which informed the synthesis and interpretation of findings. In this way, the researcher was able to extract the principal insights, highlight current trends and controversies, and identify gaps in the literature relevant to flipped learning's contribution in reshaping learning spaces of the modern day.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

Findings

Using a narrative literature review, this study identified the implementation and impact of flipped learning on 21st-century education within the context of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) classrooms. The reviewed literature comprised both empirical and theoretical studies published between 2016 and 2025, reflecting a wide range of global perspectives and educational settings. Thematic synthesis revealed common trends in how flipped learning supports active learner-centered learning environments, enables learner autonomy, and applies digital technologies to individualize learning. There are also accreditations in the literature for the cultural and pedagogical shifts from teacher-centered to learner-centered learning, indicating we were on the way to a collaborative and interactive position from which we have built our learning, but especially in languages where communication and interaction are key.

It was apparent from many studies that flipped learning transforms a teacher-centered classroom into a student-centered classroom. Flipped learning versus a traditional classroom that employed lecture methods that primarily presented. The flipped learning model allowed students to engage with their course content before class since they were able to view videos or readings prior to class and come to

class ready to engage in collaborative and focused tasks. Students engaged in actively problem solving, group discussions, interactions, and project-based learning that transformed their experience in and out of the classroom and fostered active engagement and higher-order thinking skills when learning. These findings were further supported by Nugroho (2021), Lo and Hew (2022), Kim et al. (2021), and Zainuddin and Perera (2019) who defined the investigation as a pedagogical shift enabled by flipped learning model.

The literature also points to learners in flipped classrooms being more learner autonomous and self-motivated. It is presumed that the flipped classroom provides learners with more control over the pace of their learning outside of class, and using the in-class time for collaborative learning worked fairly consistently on the study, which provided learners opportunities to regulate their own learning and demonstrate ownership of their learning experiences, for example, support if they need it or the ability to complete the activities in an order or structure that determines how they need to learn in class to do well, is also linked to increased engagement and feeling confident about their skills as learners. Zainuddin and Halili (2016), Akçayır and Akçayır (218), Chung and Hew (2022), Turan and Akdag-Cimen (2020) and Han (2022) all agree that flipped classrooms facilitate student motivation by satisfying the needs for autonomy and competence of the learners.

The opportunities presented by technology provide opportunities to personalize and engage with learning in flipped learning. For example, many digital tools, such as learning management systems (e.g., Moodle, Blackboard), video platforms (e.g., YouTube), and collaborative tools (e.g., Padlet, Google Docs), create flexible, differentiated, and personalized learning experiences. Also, students have the ability to proceed through the content at their own pace, go back to more complex content, and review interactive content that aligns with their different learning styles. Digital platforms also provide instant feedback to students and support collaborative functionality to support peer engagement through ideation and learning in synchronous and asynchronous contexts. The ability to review, collaborative ideas in real-time provides students with numerous opportunities for learning outcomes. Instructors can also continuously monitor and motivate their students' weaker gestures, revise their teaching plans, and provide triage using analytic dashboards and real-time. Kim et al. Kim et al. (2021), Chung and Hew (2022), Lo and Hew (2022), Zainuddin and Perera (2019), and Yoshida and Fukuda (2022) have found that the thoughtful integration of technology enables flipped learning environments to reach their full pedagogical potential, enhancing engagement, personalization, and academic achievement.

There are still barriers to adopting flipped learning. For example, factors such as unequal access to digital devices and Internet access, limited pre-service and in-service teacher training, and variable digital literacy skills and competencies among students pose challenges to frequent, scaled, widespread adoption of flipped learning that involves technology. Often, these challenges impose additional burdens on the experience of students in rural, marginalized, or under-resourced contexts in which the overall educational experience may lack consistent technological infrastructure and institutional policies. Only being able to access

educational instruction in a flipped classroom setting when reliable internet, updated technology, and a sufficient level of digital capacity are available leave a student unable to effectively engage as a full participant. Furthermore, teachers who are not trained in using digital tools or designing interactive content may feel overwhelmed by the demands of flipped instruction. In each of the studies conducted by Turan and Akdag-Cimen (2020), Akçayır and Akçayır (2018), Han (2022), and Zainuddin and Halili (2016), the challenges at the systemic level are evident. These researchers propose practical solutions such as phased implementation, blended approaches, and expanded professional development programs to enhance teacher readiness and institutional resilience.

Finally, with respect to English as a Foreign Language (EFL) context, various studies show that flipped learning contributes significantly to the improvement of language skills, especially speaking and listening. Being prepared for class allows students to make the most out of classroom time with respect to using the language in communicative settings; thus, improving speaking fluency, listening comprehension, and overall confidence. This increased preparedness also leads to deeper engagement in classrooms, where students are more likely to take risks and get involved in role plays, discussions, and peer feedback. In flipped settings, students take greater responsibility for their learning, which enhances their motivation and sense of ownership. It is also apparent noted that flipped modes of education promote improved standards of digital literacy and foster better cross-cultural communication. This is especially important in EFL classrooms where students often do not have chances for authentic language use. As noted above the studies (Nugroho, 2021; Yoshida and Fukuda, 2022) previously conducted in EFL classrooms included Al-Zahrani (2017) and Zainuddin and Perera (2019) all noted happy outcomes in EFL classroom. These studies also underscore the value of flipped learning not only for language learning but for developing 21st century skills like collaboration, independence, problem solving, and adaptability, which students need to succeed in the globalized and digital world.

Discussion

Overall, this study demonstrated support for the theoretical frameworks discussed above: constructivism (Piaget, 1952), social constructivism, and the ZPD (Vygotsky, 1978), Bloom's Taxonomy (1956); and Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985). These frameworks will provide a sound context to better understand how flipped learning is reshaping instructional design and the engagement of learners in an EFL context.

Promoting Active, Student-Centered Learning

The change from passive to active learning applies to flipped learning and is very saliently framed around principles of constructivism. Piaget (1965) believed that students learn most effectively when they interact and explore their environment, so a flipped classroom sets students up to engage actively with those materials using inquiry, peer learning, and problem-solving in class, characteristics of student-centered experiences. Nugroho (2021), Lo and Hew (2022), and Kim et al. (2021) highlight how learners gain educational benefit from situations in which they interact physically with materials in class and that active engagement is rarely

possible in a traditional lecture format. Clearly including and encouraging educational interaction in the class has a positive impact on learning.

Flipped learning practices also map onto Bloom's Taxonomy (Anderson and Krathwohl, 2001), or the ordering of learning tasks by cognitive complexity. In the flipped classroom, foundational cognitive tasks (e.g. remembering and understanding) are already done before class through instructional videos, readings, or other preparatory materials, allowing all in-class time to be devoted to higher-order thinking activities like applying, analyzing, evaluating, and creating. This structure not only increases students' conceptual understanding, but also allows for deep thinking and problem-solving to take place. Research conducted by Lo and Hew (2022) suggests that using flipped learning reduces cognitive overload, and leads to better academic performance and learner engagement.

Enhancing Autonomy and Motivation

Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985) contends that autonomy, competence, and relatedness are the fundamental, psychological needs that drive intrinsic motivation and development. Within the context of flipped learning, these three components are essentially supported through the development of the instruction and through the interactions in class. Autonomy is supported, as learners are given control over pace, timing, and order of their learning activities. As students view the pre-class materials (videos or readings) at their own convenience, they experience a sense of ownership over the learning process. They can review challenging content, stop any time, and learn in a manner that suits their learning style and preferred cognitive approach. This kind of autonomy will cultivate the learners´ engagement, self-regulation, and overall willingness to contribute in the class.

Furthermore, flipped classrooms foster competence through activities conducted in class that are structured and scaffolded from the pre-class preparation. Competence is also instantiated through processes whereby students may engage in problem-solving tasks, engage in group or class discussions, peer collaborative learning, and where immediate feedback, the possibility of revision, and enhancements occur; all of which build competency and aid confidence. Making connections with others through Group Learning, which connects to relatedness i.e., social contact, where students work together with peers in group learning for shared outcomes, is a social interaction that would provide more than a learning outcome. While students interacted with each other socially, it may support much higher emotional engagement and a sense of belonging. Supporting that, Zainuddin and Perera (2019), Chung and Hew (2022), and Akçayır and Akçayır (2018) have all documented flipped learning, which is an approach that supports the fulfillment of all psychological needs through a diverse approach, supports and constructs intrinsic motivation, and results in students taking more responsibility for their learning, academically. Ultimately, when learners are autonomous, competent, and connected, they can flourish in their academic journey and become advocates for their own learning processes.

Leveraging Technology for Personalized Learning

Vygotsky emphasized the social aspect of learning, especially learning that occurs with scaffolding in the ZPD. Flipped classrooms provide opportunities for scaffolding using technology with collaborative tools and teacher-facilitated activities. Platforms like Moodle, Padlet, and YouTube not only deliver content but also facilitate synchronous and asynchronous interaction. As shown in studies by Kim et al. (2021), Yoshida & Fukuda (2022), and Chung & Hew (2022), flipped classrooms supported by technology yield increased personalization and peer engagement.

According to Chung & Hew (2022), learning analytics is being utilized to foster formative assessment efforts that help teachers identify students who are struggling with learning and to inform their instruction. This supports differentiated learning pathways in diverse classrooms by allowing educators to tailor content, provide timely interventions, and adjust teaching strategies based on real-time data and learner needs.

Addressing Implementation Challenges

Although flipped learning is a positive approach, it has limitations to consider when effectively utilizing it in practice. There are a few points of concern, including the digital divide, teacher preparedness, and varying levels of student proficiency and access to digital resources. Not all learners have access to devices or fast and reputable internet, which may influence learning and outcomes. Similarly, the educators involved may not have experience with design or control of flipped classrooms, or have a limited educational background in using the pedagogical affordances model itself. In a constructivist view, it is clear that if learning is to be regarded as meaningful, it also must be inclusive, supportive, and responsive to learner needs. There is institutional support is required, especially in under-resourced settings, where higher infrastructures and professional development are lacking (Turan & Akdag-Cimen, 2020; Zainuddin & Halili, 2016). Partial flipping or blended learning can provide a more flexible and accessible timeline for the gradual incorporation of heavily flipped learning contexts.

Flipped Learning in EFL Classrooms

Language learning fundamentally depends on practice, engagement, and confidence—all elements that are inherently encouraged by the flipped model. In EFL (English as a Foreign Language) contexts, the flipped classroom enables learners to practice vocabulary, grammar, and comprehension tasks on their own and use classroom time on speaking and role-plays with peer correction. This approach resides within Vygotsky's ZPD (zone of proximal development) and within the constructivist principles of experiential learning. Evidence from studies conducted in various international contexts (Al-Zahrani, 2017; Yoshida & Fukuda, 2022; Nugroho, 2021) suggests that flipped classrooms possibly enhance language proficiency with particular emphasis on oral communication (speaking). Therefore, the flipped learning model serves as an effective means to bridge the gap between language input and meaningful output, providing learners with the confidence and communicative competence needed for real-world language use.

Pedagogical Implications

The review points to a number of pedagogical implications for educators and institutions, and curricular design. In the first sense and most importantly, educators must consider flipped learning, which involves moving learning away from merely transmitting information; rather, it transforms the learning environment into application-based and learning that is active and higher-order. In turn, institutions should re-envision their investments into professional development and their digital framework for making flipped learning feasible and technically possible for all educators and all types of students or types of education. On that latter note, curriculum designers need to consider particular models of blended learning, as well as plan for instructional differentiation to account for students' needs and various learning styles. Specifically, in the EFL context, flipped learning has particular potential to increase students' interaction and provide opportunities for more richer communication practice. Through integration of pre-class preparation and in class language use, EFL educators should be able to build students' confidence, promote speaking fluency, and create learning conditions conducive for collaboration.

In conclusion, flipped learning is an educational shift of perspective. Flipped practice, aligned with good pedagogical theory and bolstered with adequate new infrastructure, fosters student engagement, motivation, ownership of learning and mastery. To achieve a scalable and equitable opportunity for flipped learning, there remains a need for additional empirical research in particular in underrepresented and multilingual contexts**.**

CONCLUSION

This study has analyzed a body of literature to investigate how flipped learning transforms instruction and learning in classrooms in the 21st century, with a specific focus on the EFL teaching context. The evidence confirms that flipped learning improves pedagogy focused on students, fosters learner autonomy, increases motivation, and integrates digital technology for personalized learning. Through thematic synthesis, it is clear that flipped classrooms not only align with constructivist and motivation theories but are also attuned to the needs of an era of technology-based, knowledge economy. Through the studies in question, it is clear that flipped learning maximizes students' performance, digital literacy, critical thinking, and collaboration—those essential skills most in need in the 21st century.

However, the research also quotes some of the pitfalls in the application of flipped learning like lack of equitable access to digital content, disparity in teacher preparedness, and need for student self-regulation. These issues emphasize the importance of investment in infrastructure, professional development of teachers, and access to technology for everyone. Moreover, though the present narrative review presents an integrated image, primary empirical results are not provided, which compromises on generalizability and richness of conclusions in context.

From the evidence, several implications can be derived. For teachers, using flipped learning involves a transition towards facilitator modes and how to authorize the use of digital tools. Organizations must enable the transition through policy, staff development, and investment in learning platforms. Policymakers must

view flipped learning as a long-term pedagogical reform initiative, particularly in language learning and digital innovation.

Longitudinal effects of flipped learning on student outcomes in different education contexts, such as rural and resource-scarce settings, should be examined in future work. Action research and mixed-method approaches should be used to develop richer appreciation of contextual challenges and effective adaptations. As the education landscape continues to evolve, flipped learning is a model that holds much potential to render teaching and learning more dynamic, inclusive, and future-proof.

REFERENCES

Achadiyah, R. (2024). Tertiary EFL learners' barriers in translating Indonesian news item text into English and vice versa. *Wiralodra English Journal (WEJ), 8*(2), 134–146. https://doi.org/10.31943/wej.v8i2.304

Akçayır, G., & Akçayır, M. (2018). The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and challenges. Computers & Education, 126, 334–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.021

Al-Zahrani, A. M. (2017). The impact of flipped classroom on the achievement and attitudes of secondary school students towards mathematics in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Information and Education Technology, 7(10), 683–689. https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2017.7.10.952.

Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. Longman.

Baker, W. (2005). The interaction of the bilingual's two phonetic systems: Differences in early and late Korean-English bilinguals. In J. Cohen, K. T. McAlister, K. Rolstad, & J. MacSwan (Eds.), *ISB4: Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Bilingualism* (pp. 45-63). Cascadilla Press.

Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2012). Flip your classroom: Reach every student in every class every day. International Society for Technology in Education.

Bloom, B. S. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Longmans, Green.

Boumeester, M., Michel, C. M., & Fyndanis, V. (2019). Sequential multilingualism and cognitive abilities: Preliminary data on the contribution of language proficiency and use in different modalities. *Behavioral Sciences, 9*(92), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs9090092

Cameron, L. (2001). *Teaching Language to Young Learners*. Cambridge University Press.

Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design, qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publication.

Chung, K. J., & Hew, K. F. (2022). Using learning analytics to examine flipped learning strategies and student engagement. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 38(4), 1051–1064. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12641

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior. Springer.

Finkel, D. (2019). Teaching with your mouth shut. Boynton/Cook Publishers.

Flipped Learning Network. (2014). Definition of flipped learning. https://flippedlearning.org/definition-of-flipped-learning/

Green, J. L., Johnson, R. B., & Adams, A. (2006). Writing narrative literature reviews for peer-reviewed journals: Secrets of the trade. Journal of Chiropractic Medicine, 5(3), 101–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0899-3467(07)60142-6

Greenberg, S., Carvey, H., Hitchcock, L., & Chang, S. (2002). Beyond the phoneme: A juncture-accent model of spoken language. In *HLT '02: Proceedings of the second international conference on Human Language Technology Research* (pp. 36-43). Morgan Kaufmann Publishers. https://doi.org/10.3115/1289189.1289251

Han, Y. (2022). Flipped learning and differentiated instruction: A systematic review of research trends. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 19(1), 1–27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00334-y

Kim, M. K., Kim, S. M., Khera, O., & Getman, J. (2021). The experience of three flipped classrooms in higher education: An exploration of design principles. Internet and Higher Education, 30, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2021.100731

Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2022). The impact of flipped classrooms on student achievement and cognitive load in secondary school science education. British Journal of Educational Technology, 53(2), 287–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13153

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 16(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847

Nugroho, A. (2021). Exploring the implementation of flipped classroom in EFL speaking class. Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics, 6(3), 537–549. https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v6i3.637

Piaget, J. (1952). The origins of intelligence in children. International Universities Press.

Soleimani, H., & Alaee, F. F. (2014). Complexity theory and CALL curriculum in foreign language learning. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, *3*(3), 19-30. https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.3n.3p.19

Turan, Z., & Akdag-Cimen, B. (2020). Flipped classroom in English language teaching: A systematic review. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 33(5–6), 590–606. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2019.1584117

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press.

Wright, W. (2019). Foundations for teaching English language learners: Research, theory, policy, and practice (3rd ed.). Caslon Publishing.

Wright, W. (2020). Essentials of teaching English language learners. Caslon Publishing.

Yoshida, H., & Fukuda, M. (2022). Flipping the classroom in Japanese EFL context: Effects on learners' speaking fluency and confidence. Asian EFL Journal, 24(5), 124–140.

Yuan, R., & Zhang, L. J. (2020). Exploring student teachers' motivation change in initial teacher education: A Chinese perspective. Teaching and Teacher Education, 89, 103005. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.103005

Zainuddin, Z., & Halili, S. H. (2016). Flipped classroom research and trends from different fields of study. International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 17(3), 313–340. https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v17i3.2274

Zainuddin, Z., & Perera, C. J. (2019). Exploring students' competence, autonomy and relatedness in the flipped classroom pedagogical model. Journal of Further and Higher Education, 43(1), 115–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2017.1356916